First-principles calculations of CaMg$_2$ Alloy Phase to predict its Electronic and Elastic Properties
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Abstract. The influencing effect of pressure on structural, elastic and electronic properties of CaMg$_2$ Laves phase is mainly investigated. The optimized structural parameters at zero pressure are $a=b=6.250\text{Å}$, $c=10.101\text{Å}$, which has good agreement with the experimental and theoretical values. The elastic constants are calculated, and then the bulk modulus, shear modulus, Young’s modulus, Poisson’s ratio and anisotropy factor are determined. The results show that the applied pressure is beneficial to the elastic properties of CaMg$_2$. The analysis of electronic density of states (DOS) and Mulliken electron population reveal the bonding characteristics in CaMg$_2$ crystal. Finally, the Debye temperatures under different pressures are obtained from the average sound velocity.
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I. Introduction

As the good strength to weight ratio of common structural materials, magnesium (Mg) alloys have received much attention [1,2]. Magnesium alloys as low density, high specific strength, good stiffness and strength-weight ratio are widely used in aerospace industry, automobile industry, 3D printing and other fields [2, 3]. Therefore, much work has been focused on improving the mechanical properties of Magnesium alloys. Experimental investigations show that Magnesium alloys can be significantly improved by adding rare-earth (RE) elements [4] and Ca element [5]. The Laves phases in Mg alloys have been gradually focused because of their excellent physical and chemical properties [6-12].

In the past few years, some experiments have been carried out to study Laves phases in Mg-Al-Ca alloys, such as CaAl$_2$(C15), CaMg$_2$(C14), (Mg,Ca)Al$_2$(C14) and Ca(Mg,Al)$_2$(C36) [13,14]. However, the application of magnesium alloys in the modern industry currently is still limited due to their restricted mechanical properties [5,15]. Under this background, the binary system of Mg-Ca is regarded as one of the most promising candidates for biodegradable implant applications due to its good biocompatibility, no toxicity and appreciable corrosion resistance [17]. CaMg$_2$ Laves phase is a typical phase in Mg-Ca, Mg-Al-Ca and Mg-Zn-Ca alloy systems. In the Mg-Zn binary alloys, the grain can be significantly refined by adding the inexpensive element Ca, which can increase the density of aging precipitates MgZn$_2$ phase, thereby improving the mechanical properties of the alloy [5]. Mg-Ca alloy exhibits excellent oxidation-resistance and burning-resistance [16], and it is also regarded as one of the most promising alloy due to its appreciable corrosion resistance [17]. CaMg$_2$ Laves phase as its excellent physical and chemical properties is a typical phase in Mg-Y-Ni [18] and Mg-Al-Ca alloy [14]. Yu et al. [19] investigated the electronic structure and elastic properties of the binary AB$_3$ type Laves phase in Mg-Al-Ca alloys, indicating that the CaMg$_2$ phase has a strong alloying ability as well as a strong structural stability. Ca is an important alloying element of Mg, and is also a lower cost and weight element than rare-earth elements. Elastic constants and electronic structure of CaMg$_2$ alloy are studied by Tang et al.[3], indicating that the stability of C14 CaMg$_2$ Laves phase alloy is strong. Zhou et al. [17] has investigated the phase stability and electronic structure of an Mg-Ca system, showing that CaMg$_2$ Laves phase is ductile with a structural stability. Mao et al.[20] studied the structural, elastic and electronic properties of AB$_3$ type intermetallics in Mg-Zn-Ca-Cu alloy from First-principles calculations. However, there is no report about the experimental
values of Debye temperature and theoretical study of the pressure effect on structural, elastic and electronic properties of CaMg2 Laves phase.

In this work, the first-principles calculations are used to investigate the structural parameters, the anisotropic elasticity, elastic and electronic properties of the C14 CaMg2 Laves phase under pressure. Moreover, the density of state (DOS) under different pressure is analysed to insight into the bonding characteristics of CaMg2, and then reveals the underlying structural stability mechanism of CaMg2 Laves phase. The results are compared with the available experimental and theoretical values. The current work can act as a theoretical prediction and provides mechanical parameters of CaMg2 alloy.

II. Theoretical principle and calculation algorithm

A. Description of elastic constants algorithm and Young’s modulus calculation

A multi-particle electronic structure satisfies the Schrödinger equation. Generally, Hamiltonian contains kinetic energy, Coulomb potential energy between electrons and the external potential, which is described as:

\[ H = \sum_i -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_i^2 + \sum_i \frac{e^2}{r_i} + \sum_{ij} \phi_{ij}(r) \]  

(1)

Where, \( V(r) \) is an external potential; \( r_i \) and \( r_j \) are the nucleus position vectors; while \( m \) and \( m \) stand respectively for the mass of nuclei and electrons. \( \hbar \) is the reduced Planck constant.

Kohn-Sham equation as an approximation to simplify Schrödinger equation is described as [21]:

\[ \begin{align*}
\left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_i^2 + V(r) \right] \phi_i(r) &= \epsilon_i \phi_i(r) \\
\phi_{ij}(r) &= \phi_i(r) \phi_j(r) \\
V_{ij}(r) &= \frac{\delta E_{ij}-\delta E_{ii}-\delta E_{jj}}{\delta r_{ij}} \\
\rho_i(r) &= \sum_j \phi_i^*(r) \phi_i(r)
\end{align*} \]  

(2)

Where, \( V_{ij}(r) \) is an exchange-correlation potential; \( \rho_i(r) \) is the electron density. Equation (2) includes not only the nucleus and electron kinetic energy term but also the term of nucleus-nucleus and electron-electron interactions [21]. The electron density is optimised and elastic constants are obtained.

Elastic constants are often used to characterize resist deformation capacity to an externally applied stress. For hexagonal structure, there are 5 independent elastic constants \( (C_{11}, C_{12}, C_{13}, C_{33}, C_{44}) \), since \( C_{66} = (C_{11} - C_{12})/2 \), mechanical stability condition and Voigt/ Reuss bounds of \( B \) and \( G \) are [22]:

\[ C_{11} > 0; C_{12} > 0; C_{13} > 0; (C_{11}+C_{12})C_{12}-2C_{13}^2 > 0 \]  

(3)

\[ B_V = \frac{2}{9} \left( C_{11} + C_{12} + \frac{1}{2} C_{33} + 2C_{13} \right) \]  

(4)

\[ G_V = \frac{1}{30} \left( 7C_{11} - 5C_{12} + 12C_{13} + 2C_{33} - 4C_{13} \right) \]  

(5)

\[ B_R = \frac{(C_{11} + C_{12} - 2C_{13}^2)}{C_{11} + C_{12} + 2C_{33} - 4C_{13}} \]  

(6)

\[ G_R = \frac{5}{12} \left( 3B_{i}C_{i} + C_{11}C_{12} - 2C_{13} \right) \left( C_{44} + C_{66} \right) \]  

(7)

Then homogenized elastic properties of polycrystals can be derived. Shear and bulk moduli are obtained by means of Voigt and Reuss bounds, which are based on the \( C_i \) calculated. Then the Voigt-Reuss-Hill average and Young’s modulus can be determined from shear/bulk modulus [22, 23].

Defining \( \sigma_{11}=E_{11} \), \( \sigma_{22}=E_{22} \) and \( \sigma_{33}=E_{33} \), the formula of axial modulus in \( x, y, z \)-direction \( E_3 \) is shown as [24]:

\[ E_1 = E_2 = (c_{11} - c_{12}) \left[ 1 + (c_{11}c_{13} - c_{12}^2) \right] \left( c_{12}c_{33} - c_{13}^2 \right) \]  

(1)

\[ E_3 = c_{33} - 2c_{13}^2 \left( c_{11} + c_{12} \right) \]  

(2)

Thus axial moduli in \( x, y, z \)-direction based on elastic constants calculated can be obtained. Therefore, Then homogenized Young’s modulus \( E \), Poisson’s ratio and anisotropy factor \( A \) are obtained according to the following formula [25]:

\[ E = \frac{9BG}{3B+G} \]  

(8)

\[ V = \frac{3B-2G}{2(3B+G)} \]  

(9)

\[ A = \frac{C_{11}-C_{12}}{2C_{33}} \]  

(10)

Based on \( C_{ij} \), Debye temperature can be estimated by some sound velocity equations [26]:

\[ \Theta_D = \frac{h}{k_B} \left[ \frac{3n(M)}{4\pi N_A} \right]^{1/3} \]  

(8)

\[ V_m = \left[ \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{1}{V_x} + \frac{1}{V_T} \right) \right]^{1/3} \]  

(9)

\[ V_T = \sqrt{\frac{G}{\rho}} \]  

(10)

\[ V_x = \sqrt{\frac{B + 4/3G}{\rho}} \]  

(11)

Where \( \Theta_D \) is Debye temperature; \( h \) and \( k_B \) are the Planck and Boltzmann constant; \( n \) the total number of atoms; \( \rho \) is density; \( N_A \) the Avogadro number; \( M \) the molecular weight per formula; \( V_m \) the average sound velocity; \( V_T \) the transverse sound velocity and \( V_x \) the longitudinal sound velocity.
B. Modeling of CaMg2 and computational method

Cambridge sequential total energy package (CASTEP), and first-principles plane wave pseudopotential method based on density functional theory (DFT) [27] is used for the calculations. The exchange correlation potential is considered by the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) in the scheme of Perdew-Burke-Eruzerhof (PBE) [28].

Fig.1 shows CaMg2, crystal of hexagonal structure with a space group P63/mmc (No.194). There are 12 atoms in the unit cell, including 4 Ca atoms and 8 Mg atoms. Ca atoms are located on 4f sites and Mg atoms 2a, 6f sites. Pseudo atomic calculations are performed for Mg 2p6 3s1 and Ca 3s2 3p6 4s2.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1** Final parameters of CaMg2 crystal

As shown in Fig.1, crystal lattice is as: a=b=6.250Å, c=10.101Å, α=β=90°, γ=120°. Geometry optimization with optimizing cell was firstly performed to determine the equilibrium structural parameters. The total energy as a function of the cell volume was fitted by Birch-Murnahan equation of state (EOS) [29,30], the obtained equilibrium volume corresponding to minus total energy is 341.732Å3.

DFT calculation and initial conditions are as: the exchange-correlation function is GGA; Cutoff energy of the plane waves for ultrasoft pseudopotentials is 400eV, which is sufficient to fully converge. Brillouin zone is sampled with a mesh of 12x12x8 generated by Monkhorst-Pack method [31]. Geometry optimization is carried out with optimizing cell by the scheme of the Brodyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) [32] minimization until the self-consistent convergence of the total energy value is 5.0×10−6eV/atom, the force on all atoms is less than 0.001eV/nm, and the pressure region is 0~60GPa. The elastic constants of CaMg2 are obtained by the stress-strain method [33,34].

III. Results and discussion

C. Structural parameters and elastic constants

The calculated equilibrium lattice parameters a, c and c/a, elastic constants Cij and bulk modulus B0 are listed in Tab.1.

**Table I.** The structural parameters (a0, c0, c0/a0, V0), elastic constants and bulk modulus B0 of CaMg2 phase at 0GPa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>a0(Å)</th>
<th>c0(Å)</th>
<th>c0/a0</th>
<th>V0(Å³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>6.250</td>
<td>10.101</td>
<td>1.616</td>
<td>341.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cal.[19]</td>
<td>6.240</td>
<td>10.140</td>
<td>1.625</td>
<td>342.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exp.[18]</td>
<td>6.220</td>
<td>10.100</td>
<td>1.624</td>
<td>341.081</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table 1 a), it is evident that the equilibrium lattice parameters from the present calculation match well with available experimental and theoretical values, it is indicated that the present model is reliable. It can be clearly seen that the volume of the unit cell is becoming smaller and smaller with the increasing pressure, which implies that the distance between atoms is getting smaller and smaller. As the pressure rises, the change of distance between atoms is smaller because of the repulsion force between atoms. The smaller the distance is, the stronger the repulsion force is.

The calculated elastic constants of CaMg2 at zero pressure are tabulated in Table 1 b), and the calculated results in this work are in good agreement with previous theoretical values. Moreover, elastic constants satisfy the mechanical stability condition, which demonstrates the mechanical stability of CaMg2 phase. The fairly good agreement with experimental and theoretical values in references shows that the present calculations are highly reliable.

Variations of the relative change of lattice parameters a/a0, c/c0 and the relative change of unit cell volume V/V0 with increase of pressure are plotted in Fig.2.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2** Relative change of a/a0, c/c0 and V/V0

As shown in Fig.2, it can be seen that CaMg2 has not undergone a phase transition according to the smooth variation of a/a0, c/c0 and V/V0 with the increase of pressure. Moreover, it shows that the changes of the lattice parameter a and the...
parameter c are so close to each other and almost equal throughout the whole pressure range with intervals of 10GPa, indicating that the elastic anisotropy of CaMg$_2$ is weak. The compressive ability of CaMg$_2$ crystal under pressure becomes difficult because of the strong repulsion force, which is consistent with the variation trend of the \( V/V_0 \) curve. The axial ratio c/a changes quite little (only 0.003) with increase of pressure, from the minimum 1.615 at 10GPa to the maximum 1.618 at 50GPa, and the axial ratio c/a decreases above 50GPa. This c/a variation of CaMg$_2$ is in good agreement with that of MgZn$_2$ phase reported by Liu et al. [35]. The values of ratio c/a under different pressures are all close to the ideal value 1.633 for typical hexagonal close-packed phase within an error less than 1.1%.

Fig. 3 gives us an intuitive variation of elastic constants \( C_{ij} \) with increasing pressure. The inset of Fig.3 is \( B \), \( G \) and \( E \) as a function of the applied pressure.

![Figure.3 Parameters under pressure of 0-60GPa](image)

As in Fig. 3, we can conclude that \( C_{11} \) and \( C_{33} \) are more sensitive to the change of applied pressure than \( C_{12} \) and \( C_{13} \), which can be attributed to the differences of the compressibility at different directions. Besides, the applied pressure has less effect on \( C_{44} \) and \( G \), which have changed little with increasing pressure. Moreover, the elastic constants \( C_{11} \), \( C_{12} \), \( C_{13} \), \( C_{33} \), bulk modulus \( B \) and Young’s modulus \( E \) show the increasing tendency with the increase of pressures. We can conclude that the applied pressure has a larger effect on \( C_{11} \), \( C_{12} \), \( C_{13} \), \( C_{33} \), \( B \), \( E \) than on \( C_{44} \) and \( G \).

D. Prediction of elastic moduli of CaMg$_2$ polycrystals

Poisson’ ratio is also a measure of the stability of the crystal against shear deformation, and a larger value is usually associated with a better plasticity [30]. Besides, the ratio of shear modulus to bulk modulus \( G/B \) of polycrystalline phase introduced by Pugh is used to predicate the brittle and ductile behavior of materials [36]. Evidently, a high \( G/B \) value is associated with brittleness while a low value associated with ductility.

The bulk modulus \( B \) of a material is usually used to be a measure of resist deformation capacity upon applied pressure [33]. The larger the value of \( B \) is, the stronger capacity of the resist deformation is [20]. Similarly, the shear modulus \( G \) is often employed to a measure of resist reversible deformation by shear stress [36]. The larger the value is, the stronger the capacity of resist shear deformation is.

To investigate the effect of the applied pressure on structural properties of CaMg$_2$. The changes of Poisson’s ratio and \( G/B \) with increasing pressure are plotted in Fig.3

![Figure.3 The pressure independence of Poisson’s ratio and the G/B ratio of CaMg$_2$.](image)

As shown in Fig.3, the \( G/B \) ratio decreases with the increasing pressure. Poisson’s ratio at 0GPa is 0.27, showing CaMg$_2$ is with predominantly central interatomic forces. The critical value which separates brittleness and ductility is about 0.57 in the horizontal dotted line. Evidently, the \( G/B \) ratio within region of 0~4GPa is higher than critical value 0.57 at 4GPa, which implies that CaMg$_2$ phase show a little brittle at 0GPa (\( G/B = 0.63 \)) and turn to be a ductile behaviour after critical value. It is indicated that CaMg$_2$ shows slight brittleness under 0~4GPa and good ductility under 4~60GPa.

The derived relative parameters of CaMg$_2$ under different pressure are listed in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure</th>
<th>( B )</th>
<th>( G )</th>
<th>( E )</th>
<th>( \mu )</th>
<th>( G/B )</th>
<th>( A )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 GPa</td>
<td>29.70</td>
<td>18.48</td>
<td>47.89</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 GPa</td>
<td>63.12</td>
<td>25.89</td>
<td>75.02</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 GPa</td>
<td>92.71</td>
<td>29.34</td>
<td>88.66</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 GPa</td>
<td>120.46</td>
<td>30.57</td>
<td>94.62</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 GPa</td>
<td>146.52</td>
<td>28.79</td>
<td>90.18</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 GPa</td>
<td>170.52</td>
<td>25.71</td>
<td>82.72</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 GPa</td>
<td>196.23</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>80.20</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From Table II, it can be found that \( E \) and \( G \) are satisfied with the relation \( G = E[2(1+\mu)] \). Moreover, Poisson’s ratio increases with increasing the applied
pressure, which indicates that the applied pressure is beneficial to the plasticity of CaMg$_2$ phase. It is worthwhile to note that the G/B ratio is 0.63 (higher than 0.57) at 0GPa, which implies that CaMg$_2$ phase is a little brittle at zero pressure. When the pressure is above 10GPa, the G/B ratio is lower than 0.57, indicating a ductile behavior of CaMg$_2$. The result from G/B ratio is in good agreement with that from Poisson’s ratio. Both of them reveal the ductility of CaMg$_2$ Laves phase under pressure.

E. Analysis of the density of state (DOS) and bonding characteristics

Structural stability of intermetallic compound is associated with its bonding electronic orbits. For covalent bond, it depends on the depth and width of band gap near Fermi level, while ionic bond is determined by the charge transfer between atoms. The density of state (DOS) under different pressure was calculated to have a further insight into the bonding characteristics of CaMg$_2$, and then reveals the underlying structural stability mechanism of CaMg$_2$ Laves phase.

The total and partial DOS of CaMg$_2$ phase near Fermi level under 0GPa, 20GPa, 40GPa and 60GPa are shown in Fig.4, respectively.

![Figure 4](https://example.com/figure4.png)

**Figure 4** The total and partial density of states (DOS) of CaMg$_2$

As is shown in Fig.4, the total DOS of CaMg$_2$ is mainly made up of the s, p states of Mg atoms below Fermi level and the s, p states of Ca atoms above Fermi level. It is evident that the total DOS of CaMg$_2$ decreases with elevated pressure, which implies that applied pressure is of benefit to the stability of CaMg$_2$. Moreover, by the DOS analysis in Fig.4, CaMg$_2$ is characterised to have the metallic behaviour as there is no energy gap near the Fermi level, which is consistent with the results reported in previous literatures [3,17,19,20].

From Fig.4 b) to Fig.4 d), the shifting of Mg s and p orbits from higher to lower energy region is discovered and is observed towards the low energy region. Moreover, with increasing pressure, the Ca s and p orbits present a slight broadening and a decrease of intensity, which indicates that the hybridization of Mg s, p and Ca s, p orbits is becoming stronger. It is worthwhile to note that the DOS of CaMg$_2$ at 40 and 60GPa are almost the same, and that the applied pressure has a little effect on the DOS when the pressure is above 40GPa.

The DOS result is in good agreement with the references [3, 20]. The total and partial DOS near Fermi level may be attributed to the variations of the spacing between atoms as well as the overlap of
wave functions under compression.

F. Analysis of Mulliken electron population and Debye temperature

The Mulliken electron population has been performed to consider the ionic bond feature of CaMg$_2$ phase. As the thermodynamic properties of solids at high pressures and high temperature is also concerned, the Debye temperature as a fundamental parameter is related to lots of physical properties of solids, such as specific heat, elastic constants and melting temperature. At low temperatures the vibrational excitations arise solely from acoustic vibrations. Therefore, the Debye temperature obtained from elastic constants is the same as that determined by specific heat measurements.

Table III shows the calculated results of Mulliken electron population and dependent parameters (e.g. the density $\rho$, the transverse sound velocity $V_s$, the longitudinal sound velocity $V_l$, the average sound velocity $V_m$, and the Debye temperature $\Theta_D$) of CaMg$_2$ under pressure of 0GPa~60GPa with an interval of 10 GPa.

### Table III. a) Mulliken electron population of pressure;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure (GPa)</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>s</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>Total Charge (e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>9.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Mg(I)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Mg(II)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>7.55</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>9.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Mg(I)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Mg(II)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Mg(I)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Mg(II)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### b) Pressure dependence of parameter $\rho$, $V_s$, $V_l$, $V_m$, and $\Theta_D$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure (GPa)</th>
<th>$\rho$ (kg/m$^3$)</th>
<th>$V_s$ (m/s)</th>
<th>$V_l$ (m/s)</th>
<th>$V_m$ (m/s)</th>
<th>$\Theta_D$ (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.724×10$^3$</td>
<td>3277.83</td>
<td>5614.24</td>
<td>6353.41</td>
<td>348.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.150×10$^3$</td>
<td>3470.14</td>
<td>6294.48</td>
<td>6865.02</td>
<td>399.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.447×10$^3$</td>
<td>3482.69</td>
<td>7286.72</td>
<td>8395.40</td>
<td>419.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.688×10$^3$</td>
<td>3372.35</td>
<td>7744.53</td>
<td>8808.73</td>
<td>423.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.898×10$^3$</td>
<td>3151.89</td>
<td>7987.80</td>
<td>3571.81</td>
<td>407.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.086×10$^3$</td>
<td>2886.38</td>
<td>8146.45</td>
<td>3279.92</td>
<td>381.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.260×10$^3$</td>
<td>2656.17</td>
<td>8420.52</td>
<td>3024.84</td>
<td>358.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: $\rho$ is density, $V_s$ transverse sound velocity, $V_l$ longitudinal sound velocity, $V_m$ average sound velocity, $\Theta_D$ Debye temperature.)

As in Tab.III a), it is found that the total charge transfer from Ca atoms to Mg atoms at 0GPa is 3.60, which shows a good agreement with the data reported in [20]. The charge transfer from Ca atoms to Mg atoms demonstrates the ionic bonding in CaMg$_2$ crystal. It is found that the charge transfer from Ca atoms to Mg atoms decreases with the increasing pressure (2.80 at 30GPa and 2.08 at 60GPa), implying that the ionic bonding is getting weaker [14] under the higher pressure.

However, the change of charge transfer in CaMg$_2$ phase is contrary to that in MgZn$_2$ and MgCu$_2$ phases reported by Liu et al. [35, 37]. This difference may be attributed to the different elements which make up CaMg$_2$, MgCu$_2$ and MgZn$_2$ phases, as Ca is alkali-earth element while Cu and Zn are not. The results here can be severed as a prediction for Laves phase made up of alkali-earth elements.

Tab.III b) has listed the calculated $V_s$, $V_l$, $V_m$, and $\Theta_D$ from 0~60GPa of CaMg$_2$. The obtained Debye temperature of CaMg$_2$ at 0 K and 0GPa is 348.70 K, which is in agreement with the available value 327.44K[35]. It is clear that the Debye temperature increases under 0~30GPa with increasingly applied pressure then presents a declining trend when the applied pressure is above 30GPa.

Unfortunately, it is difficult to make comparisons with available experimental values as there is no experimental result under high pressure of CaMg$_2$ phase reported. Thus the current results can act as a theoretical prediction for future experiments.

IV. Conclusions

Structural, elastic and electronic properties of CaMg$_2$ Laves phase under external pressure from 0~60GPa are investigated by DFT. Conclusions are as follows:

1) The optimized structural parameters ($a=b=6.250\AA$, $c=10.101\AA$, $V=341.732 \text{Å}^3$) are very close to experimental values. From DFT calculation, CaMg$_2$ Laves phase under 0~60GPa does not undergo a phase transition, and the compressibility in a direction is better than in c direction.

2) Based on the five independent elastic constants calculated by DFT, the mechanical parameters of CaMg$_2$ at the pressure of 0GPa are derived as: bulk modulus is 29.659GPa, shear modulus 18.553GPa, Young’s modulus 49.286GPa, Poisson’s ratio 0.196 and elastic anisotropy factor 1.11. The results show that the applied pressure is beneficial to elastic properties. Moreover, the ductility of CaMg$_2$ is discussed in terms of the $G/B$ ratio of shear modulus to bulk modulus. The calculated $G/B$ ratio at 0GPa 0.663 is higher than the critical value 0.57, which indicates slight brittleness of CaMg$_2$ phase at zero pressure. The $G/B$ ratio shows a decreasing tendency with the increase of pressure. As the pressure above 4GPa, the $G/B$ ratio decreases with the increasing pressure, implying the good ductility of CaMg$_2$ under pressure.

3) From DOS analysis at 0, 20, 40 and 60GPa show that below Fermi level the total DOS is mainly made up of Mg $s$, $p$ orbits while above Fermi level Ca $s$, $p$ orbits contribute much to the total DOS. Moreover, the DOS reveals the existence of covalent bonding in the CaMg$_2$ crystal according to the orbital hybridization of Mg $s$, $p$ and Ca $s$, $p$ orbits.
The Mulliken electronic population demonstrates the charge transfer from Ca atoms to Mg atoms becomes less with the increase of pressure, which indicates the ionic bond between Ca atoms and Mg atoms becomes weaker. 4) The Debye temperature shows an increasing tendency with the applied pressure from 0~30GPa and then presents a declining tendency under the pressure range of 30GPa~60GPa.
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